



NEHRU INSTITUTE OF ENGINEERING AND TECHNOLOGY

T. M. Palayam, Coimbatore-641 105

(Approved by AICTE, New Delhi and Affiliated to Anna University, Chennai)

Accredited by NAAC, Recognized by UGC with Section 2(f) and 12(B)



Academic Year: 2020-2021

Design and Review of Syllabus

Feedback Analysis and Action Taken Report



NEHRU INSTITUTE OF ENGINEERING AND TECHNOLOGY

T. M. Palayam, Coimbatore-641 105

(Approved by AICTE, New Delhi and Affiliated to Anna University, Chennai)

Accredited by NAAC, Recognized by UGC with Section 2(f) and 12(B)

NBA Accredited UG Courses: AERO, CSE, MECH

Department of Aeronautical Engineering



Feedback Analysis Report and Action Taken Report

Design and Review of Syllabus

Academic Year: 2020-2021

The feedback on design and review of syllabus was taken from Students, Employers, Alumni and Teachers. Based on the feedback received from the stakeholders, the following points are submitted to Department Advisory Committee for further action,

STUDENTS FEEDBACK ANALYSIS

- 5.6% of II-Year, 10% of III-year and 4.9% of IV-year students suggested that the course objective is not clear.
- 11.1% of II 6.3% of III-year and 5.9% of IV-year students suggested that the syllabus is not carrier oriented.
- 2.2% of II year, 7.5% of III-year and 7.8% of IV-year students suggested that the course was not well structured to achieve course outcomes.
- 2.2% of II-Year, 5% of III-year and 4.9% of IV-year students suggested that the content will not help for their higher education or employment.
- 6.7% of II year and 11.3% of III year and 8.8% of IV-year students suggested that the books prescribed as a text book/reference book is not related to syllabus.
- 13.3% of II-year, 12.5% of III-year and 9.8% of IV-year students suggested that the syllabus is not related to real world problems.
- 15.6% of II-year, 20% of III-year and 13.7% of IV-year students suggested that the syllabus is not cover industry standard and they suggested need to improve more industry relate topics.
- 6.7% of II-year, 11.3% of III-Year and 9.8% of IV-year students suggested that the text and Reference books prescribed in the syllabus are not standard.

TEACHERS FEEDBACK ANALYSIS

- 14.8% of the teachers suggested that objectives of the syllabus are not clearly indicated.
- 7.4% of teachers suggested that the course content is not followed from corresponding reference books mentioned in the curriculum.
- 11.1% of the teachers suggested that the syllabus is not designed to bridge a gap between the theory and the practical
- 14.8% of the teachers suggested that completion of syllabus for the students is not possible on time.
- 14.8% of the teachers suggested that the syllabus is not covered with modern & advanced topics

- 11.1% of the teachers suggested that the curriculum and syllabus are not designed to improve employability opportunity.
- 11.1% of the teachers suggested that the depth of the course content is not adequate to have significant learning outcomes.
- 3.7% of the teachers suggested that the reference materials and the books are not available for the topics mentioned in the syllabus.

EMPLOYER FEEDBACK ANALYSIS

- 12.5% of the employer suggested that the curriculum is not effective for the students in developing innovative thinking.
- 18.8% of the employer suggested that the curriculum does not help to become an entrepreneurs
- 12.5% of the employer suggested that curriculum is relevant for employability.
- 25% of the employer suggested that syllabus is not compatible with the real world problems
- 25% of the employer suggested that the syllabus cannot easily build the students readily employable without training.

ALUMNI FEEDBACK ANALYSIS

- 9.5% of the Alumnus suggested that the courses have no relevance and sequences in relation to the program.
- 14.3% of the Alumnus suggested that the course content not satisfy the competencies.
- 9.5% of the Alumnus suggested that the electives offered relocated to the advanced technology were not up to the level.
- 14.3% of the Alumnus suggested that the experiments could not provide any value to the real time application.
- 9.5% of the Alumnus suggested that the courses that learnt is not related to their present job

ACTION TAKEN BY THE DEPARTMENT ADVISORY COMMITTEE

- To fulfil the gap in the syllabus and attaining all course outcomes, all faculty members should teach content beyond the syllabus with current innovative trends, all students should be encouraged & motivated to attend certificate program and value-added courses.
- Students are advised to undergo in-plant training, internship/field projects, field visits to correlate the syllabus and solve the real-world problems and to make them aware about the industry standards in various domains.
- The Department Advisory Committee suggested that the department shall conduct entrepreneurship or start-ups skills program in various domain based on the requirement of the stakeholders.
- The Department Advisory Committee suggested that a letter of representation to Anna University Chennai be initiated to express inadequacy in syllabus to meet current industrial trends and also review the text books and reference books with high standards and include real world problems in the syllabus in the next regulation.


HOD

Head of the Department
Department of Aeronautical Engineering
Nehru Institute of Engineering and Technology
T.M. Palayam, Coimbatore-641 106




PRINCIPAL

Dr. P. MANIARASAN
Principal
Nehru Institute of Engineering and Technology
T.M. Palayam, Coimbatore-641 106



NEHRU INSTITUTE OF ENGINEERING AND TECHNOLOGY
T. M. Palayam, Coimbatore-641 105
(Approved by AICTE, New Delhi and Affiliated to Anna University, Chennai)
Accredited by NAAC, Recognized by UGC with Section 2(f) and 12(B)
NBA Accredited UG Courses: AERO, CSE, MECH
DEPARTMENT OF COMPUTER SCIENCE AND ENGINEERING
Feedback Analysis and Action Taken Report –Design and review of Syllabus
Academic year:2020-2021



The feedback is collected for the design and review of syllabus from Students, Faculty, Employers and Alumni for the academic 2020 -2021 and the following points were submitted to the Department Advisory Committee for further Process.

STUDENTS FEEDBACK ANALYSIS

FEEDBACK Particulars	Percentage of feedback given		
	II YEAR	III YEAR	IV YEAR
Course objective is not clear	11.61	5.80	3.41
Syllabus is not career oriented	2.68	3.86	4.55
The course was not well Structured to achieve course outcomes.	11.61	6.76	5.68
Syllabus will not help for higher education or employment	9.82	5.80	9.09
Syllabus is not related to real world problems	16.96	7.73	7.95
Syllabus does not cover industry standard	17.86	7.73	11.36
Text and reference books prescribed in the syllabus are not standard.	9.82	6.76	12.50

TEACHERS FEEDBACK ANALYSIS

CLASS/FEEDBACK	Percentage of feedback given
Course content does not followed from corresponding reference books/materials	4.1
Syllabus does not bridge the gap between Theory and Practical	4.5
Syllabus does not cover industry standard	13.6
Curriculum and syllabus does not provide Employability opportunity	9.1
Course content does not have significant learning outcomes	4.5

EMPLOYERS FEEDBACK ANALYSIS

FEEDBACK	Percentage of feedback given
Curriculum is not effective for the students in developing innovative thinking	3
Curriculum does not helps the students to become an entrepreneurs	3
Curriculum is not relevant for employability	3
Syllabus is not compatible with the real world problems	6
Syllabus does not build the students readily employable without training.	6

ALUMNI FEEDBACK ANALYSIS

FEEDBACK	Percentage of feedback given
Relevance and sequences of the courses are not in relation to the program	4
Competencies are not in relation to the course content	3
Offering of the electives are not in relation to the advanced technology	11
The experiments in courses carried out are not relevance to the real time application	12
Courses learnt are not in relation to job	9

ACTION TAKEN BY DEPARTMENT ADVISORY COMMITTEE

- The DAC Committee Suggests to encourage students to undergo Internship compulsory.
- The Committee suggests organizing more webinars and workshops by inviting persons from industry to bridge curricular gap.
- They have suggested the students learn courses through an online certification program.
- The students should do more mini projects for each semester.

B. Subbarao
HOD
17/8/2021

[Signature]
17.8.2021
DEAN(Computing)

R.
IQAC
17/8/2021



P. M. [Signature]
PRINCIPAL
17/08/2021



NEHRU INSTITUTE OF ENGINEERING AND TECHNOLOGY

T. M. Palayam, Coimbatore-641 105

(Approved by AICTE, New Delhi and Affiliated to Anna University, Chennai)

Accredited by NAAC, Recognized by UGC with Section 2(f) and 12(B)



Department of Electronics and Communication Engineering

Feedback Analysis and Action Taken Report- Design and Review of Syllabus

Academic Year: 2020-21

The feedback on design and review of syllabus was taken from Students, Employers, Alumni and Teachers. Based on the feedback, the following points are submitted to Department Advisory Committee for further action,

STUDENTS FEEDBACK ANALYSIS

- 11.02% of II-Year, 17.2% of III-year and 17.1% of IV-year students suggest that the course objective is not clear.
- 2.5% of III-year and 19% of IV-year students suggest that the syllabus is not carrier oriented.
- 4.5% of III-year and 19% of IV-year students suggest that the course was not well structured to achieve course outcomes.
- 4.6% of II-Year, 12.4% of III-year and 11.1% of IV-year students suggest that the content will not help for their higher education or employment.
- 12.9% of III year and 18.1% IV-year students suggest that the books prescribed as a text book/reference book is not related to syllabus.
- 11.8% of II-year, 10.9% of III-year and 12.4% of IV-year students suggest that the syllabus is not related to real world problems.
- 11.1% of II-year, 10.6% of III-year and 4.9% of IV-year students suggest that the syllabus is not cover industry standard and they suggest need to improve more industry relate topics.
- 9.3% of II-year, 8.3% of III-Year and 8.2% of IV-year students suggest that the text and Reference books prescribed in the syllabus are not standard.

TEACHERS FEEDBACK ANALYSIS

- 14.3% of the teachers suggest that objectives of the syllabus are not clearly indicated.
- 5.9% of the teachers suggest that the syllabus is not designed to bridge a gap between the theory and the practical
- 23% of the teachers suggest that completion of syllabus for the students is not possible on time.
- 6% of the teachers suggest that the syllabus is not covered with modern & advanced topics
- 11% of the teachers suggest that the curriculum and syllabus are not designed to improve employability opportunity.

- 9% of the teachers suggest that the depth of the course content is not adequate to have significant learning outcomes.

EMPLOYER FEEDBACK ANALYSIS

- 3.7% of the employer suggest that the curriculum is not effective for the students in developing innovative thinking.
- 8% of the employer suggest that the curriculum does not help to become an entrepreneurs
- 10.8% of the employer suggest that curriculum is relevant for employability.
- 14% of the employer suggest that syllabus is not compatible with the real world problems
- 17.1% of the employer suggest that the syllabus cannot easily build the students readily employable without training.

ALUMNI FEEDBACK ANALYSIS

- 13.3% of the Alumni suggest that the courses have no relevance and sequences in relation to the program.
- 7.71% of the Alumni suggest that the course content not satisfy the competencies.
- 6.6% of the Alumni suggest that the electives offered relocated to the advanced technology were not up to the level.
- 10.64% of the Alumni suggest that the experiments could not provide the any value to the real time application.

ACTION TAKEN BY THE DEPARTMENT ADVISORY COMMITTEE

- To fulfil the gap in the syllabus and attaining all course outcomes, all faculty members should teach content beyond the syllabus with current innovative trends, all students should be encouraged & motivated to attend certificate program and value-added courses.
- Students are advised to undergo inplant training, internship/field projects, field visits to corelate the syllabus and solve the real-world problems and to make them aware about the industry standards in various domains.
- The Department Advisory Committee suggests that a letter of representation to Anna University Chennai be initiated to express inadequacy in syllabus to meet current industrial trends and also review the text books and reference books with high standards and include real world problems in the syllabus in the next regulation.



HOD

Dr. V. JAYARAJ
Professor & Head
Department of ECE
Nehru Inst. of Engg. & Technology
T.M. Palayam, Coimbatore - 641 105


IQAC


PRINCIPAL

Dr. P. MANIARASAN
Principal
Nehru Institute of Engg. & Technology
T.M. Palayam, Coimbatore - 641 105





NEHRU INSTITUTE OF ENGINEERING AND TECHNOLOGY

T. M. Palayam, Coimbatore-641 105

(Approved by AICTE, New Delhi and Affiliated to Anna University, Chennai)

Accredited by NAAC, Recognized by UGC with Section 2(f) and 12(B)



Department of Electrical and Electronics Engineering

Feedback Analysis and Action Taken Report- Design and Review of Syllabus

Academic Year: 2020-2021

The feedback on design and review of syllabus was taken from Students, Employers, Alumni and Teachers. Based on the feedback, the following points are submitted to Department Advisory Committee for further action,

STUDENTS FEEDBACK ANALYSIS

- 8.33% of II-Year, 4.1% of III-year and 14.28% of IV-year students suggest that the course objective is not clear.
- 5.5% of II-Year, 9.3% of III-year and 17.85 % of IV-year students suggest that the syllabus is not carrier oriented.
- 6.9% of II Year, 5.2% of III-year and 6.87 % of IV-year students suggest that the course was not well structured to achieve course outcomes.
- 13.8% of II-Year, 6.25% of III-year and 13.8% of IV-year students suggest that the content will not help for their higher education or employment.
- 2.7% of II year and 5.2% of III Year, 6.4% IV-year students suggest that the books prescribed as a text book/reference book is not related to syllabus.
- 8.3% of II-year, 5.2% of III-year and 15.23% of IV-year students suggest that the syllabus is not related to real world problems.
- 6.9% of II-year, 6.25% of III-year and 15% of IV-year students suggest that the syllabus is not cover industry standard and they suggest need to improve more industry relate topics.
- 11.11% of II-year, 8.3% of III-Year and 12.8% of IV-year students suggest that the text and Reference books prescribed in the syllabus are not standard.

TEACHERS FEEDBACK ANALYSIS

- 8.7% of the teachers suggest that objectives of the syllabus are not clearly indicated.
- 11.25% of the teachers suggest that the syllabus is not designed to bridge a gap between the theory and the practical
- 10% of the teachers suggest that completion of syllabus for the students is not possible on time.
- 7.5% of the teachers suggest that the syllabus is not covered with modern & advanced topics
- 8.7% of the teachers suggest that the curriculum and syllabus are not designed to improve employability opportunity.

- 12.5% of the teachers suggest that the depth of the course content is not adequate to have significant learning outcomes.

EMPLOYER FEEDBACK ANALYSIS

- 12% of the employer suggest that the curriculum is not effective for the students in developing innovative thinking.
- 10% of the employer suggest that the curriculum does not help to become an entrepreneur
- 10% of the employer suggest that curriculum is relevant for employability.
- 25% of the employer suggest that syllabus is not compatible with the real world problems
- 25% of the employer suggest that the syllabus cannot easily build the students readily employable without training.

ALUMNI FEEDBACK ANALYSIS

- 5% of the Alumni suggest that the courses have no relevance and sequences in relation to the program.
- 12% of the Alumni suggest that the course content not satisfy the competencies.
- 9% of the Alumni suggest that the electives offered relocated to the advanced technology were not up to the level.
- 12% of the Alumni suggest that the experiments could not provide the any value to the real time application.

ACTION TAKEN BY THE DEPARTMENT ADVISORY COMMITTEE

- To fulfil the gap in the syllabus and attaining all course outcomes, all faculty members should teach Content beyond the syllabus with current innovative trends, all students should be encouraged & motivated to attend certificate program. The Faculties are also encouraged to attend FDP, MOOC courses in recent trends.
- To accomplish the gap Value added Programs in upcoming trend need to be conducted.
- Students are advised to undergo more in plant training, internship/field projects, field visits to correlate the syllabus and to solve the real-world problems and to make them aware about the industry standards in various domains to be fit in Multidisciplinary jobs.
- The Department Advisory Committee suggested that the department shall involve Students in Entrepreneurship and Start-ups skills program in various domain based on the requirement of the stakeholders.
- The Department Advisory Committee suggests that a letter of representation to Anna University Chennai be initiated to express inadequacy in syllabus to meet current industrial trends and also review the text books and reference books with high standards and include real world problems in the syllabus in the forthcoming regulation.

HOD

IQAC

PRINCIPAL

Dr. R. KANNAN
PROFESSOR & HEAD

Department of Electrical & Electronics Engineering
Nehru Institute of Engineering & Technology
Coimbatore - 641 105



Dr. P. MANIARASAN
Principal
Nehru Institute of Engg. & Technology
T.M. Palayam, Coimbatore - 641 105



NEHRU INSTITUTE OF ENGINEERING AND TECHNOLOGY

T. M. Palayam, Coimbatore-641 105

(Approved by AICTE, New Delhi and Affiliated to Anna University, Chennai)

Accredited by NAAC, Recognized by UGC under Section 2(f) and 12(B)

NBA Accredited UG Courses: AERO, CSE & MECH



Department of Mechanical Engineering

Feedback Analysis and Action Taken Report – Design and Review of the Syllabus

Academic Year 2020-21


The feedback were collected for the Design and Review of the Syllabus from students, faculty, Employers and alumni for the academic year 2020-21 and the following points were submitted to the Department Advisory Committee for further process.

STUDENTS FEEDBACK ANALYSIS

CLASS/FEEDBACK	II YEAR	III YEAR	IV YEAR
Course objective not clear	18.6	14.32	15.68
Syllabus is not career oriented	12	13.58	14.55
The course was not well structured to achieve course outcomes	11.57	16.98	13.47
Syllabus will not help for higher education or employment	11.25	12.38	16.41
Syllabus is not related to real world problems	12.45	14.56	18.21
Text and reference books prescribed in the syllabus not standard	11.56	13.55	11.86

TEACHERS FEEDBACK ANALYSIS

FEEDBACK	PERCENTAGE OF FEEDBACK GIVEN
Course objective not clear	18.24
Syllabus does not cover industry standard	13.13
Syllabus does not bridge the gap between theory and practical	11.67
Course content does not give significant learning outcomes	20.11
Text and reference books prescribed in the syllabus not standard	18.52
Curriculum does not provide employability opportunities	14.39


Dr. P. MANI ARASAN
Principal

Nehru Institute of Engg. & Technology
T. M. Palayam, Coimbatore - 641 105



NEHRU INSTITUTE OF ENGINEERING AND TECHNOLOGY

T. M. Palayam, Coimbatore-641 105

(Approved by AICTE, New Delhi and Affiliated to Anna University, Chennai)

Accredited by NAAC, Recognized by UGC under Section 2(f) and 12(B)

NBA Accredited UG Courses: AERO, CSE & MECH



EMPLOYERS FEEDBACK ANALYSIS

FEEDBACK	PERCENTAGE OF FEEDBACK GIVEN
Curriculum is not effective for the students in developing innovative thinking	21.41
Curriculum not relevant to employability	12.25
Curriculum not help students to become entrepreneurs	13.48
Syllabus is not compatible with the real world problems	14.68

ALUMNI FEEDBACK ANALYSIS

FEEDBACK	PERCENTAGE OF FEEDBACK GIVEN
Competencies are not relevant to the course contents	20.42
Offering of the electives are not related to the advanced technology	14.33
Experiments carried out in the courses are not relevant to the real world applications	18.68
Courses learnt are not relation to the job	14.69

ACTION TAKEN BY DEPARTMENT ADVISORY COMMITTEE MEMBERS

- Department Advisory committee members suggest that students need to undergo internships during semester holidays.
- DAC members recommend to teach content beyond syllabus to be taught by faculties.
- DAC members suggest that more industry related seminars and webinars to be arranged with Guest speakers from industries.
- DAC members suggests that students to learn new techniques through online certification programs
- DAC members recommends more industrial visits to be arranged for students

R. Adarsh
IQAC

M. S. L.
HOD

P. Mani Arasan
PRINCIPAL

Dr. P. MANIARASAN
Principal
Nehru Institute of Engg. & Technology
T.M. Palayam, Coimbatore - 641 105

Dr. P. MANIARASAN
Principal
Nehru Institute of Engg. & Technology
T.M. Palayam, Coimbatore - 641 105



NEHRU INSTITUTE OF ENGINEERING AND TECHNOLOGY

T. M. Palayam, Coimbatore-641 105
(Approved by AICTE, New Delhi and Affiliated to Anna University, Chennai)
Department of Mechatronics Engineering



Feedback Analysis Report and Action Taken Report

Design and Review of Syllabus

Academic Year: 2020-21

The feedback on design and review of syllabus was taken from Students, Teachers Employers and Alumni. Based on the feedback, the following points are submitted to Department Advisory Committee for further action.

STUDENTS FEEDBACK ANALYSIS

- 6.6% of II-Year, 11.4% of III-year and 5.6% of IV-year students suggested that the course objective is not clear.
- 10.3% of II 5.3% of III-year and 6.6% of IV-year students suggested that the syllabus is not carrier oriented.
- 2.4% of II year, 6.4% of III-year and 5.8% of IV-year students suggested that the course was not well structured to achieve course outcomes.
- 1.8% of II-Year, 5.5% of II-year and 3.7% of IV-year students suggested that the content will not help for their higher education or employment.
- 7.2% of II year and 12.8% of II year and 7.2% of IV-year students suggested that the books prescribed as a text book/reference book is not related to syllabus.
- 11.5% of II-year, 13.4% of III-year and 8.9% of IV-year students suggested that the syllabus is not related to real world problems.
- 14.4% of II-year, 18.4% of II-year and 11.9% of IV-year students suggested that the syllabus is not cover industry standard and they suggested need to improve more industry relate topics.
- 5.7 % of II-year, 12.4% of III-Year and 8.8% of IV-year students suggested that the text and Reference books prescribed in the syllabus are not standard.

TEACHERS FEEDBACK ANALYSIS

- 15.8% of the teachers suggested that objectives of the syllabus are not clearly indicated.
- 7.8% of the teachers suggested that the syllabus is not designed to bridge a gap between the theory and the practical.
- 14.3% of the teachers suggested that completion of syllabus for the students is not possible on time.
- 13.4% of the teachers suggested that the syllabus is not covered with modern & advanced topics.
- 10.1% of the teachers suggested that the curriculum and syllabus are not designed to improve employability opportunity.
- 9.8% of the teachers suggested that the depth of the course content is not adequate to have significant learning outcomes.
- 4.3% of the teachers suggested that the reference materials and the books are not available for the topics mentioned in the syllabus.


DR. P. MANI ARASAN
Principal

Nehru Institute of Engg. & Technology
T.M.Palayam, Coimbatore - 641 105

EMPLOYER FEEDBACK ANALYSIS


- 12.8% of the employer suggested that the curriculum is not effective for the students in developing innovative thinking.
- 14.6% of the employer suggested that the curriculum does not help to become an entrepreneurs
- 11.6% of the employer suggested that curriculum is relevant for employability.
- 24% of the employer suggested that syllabus is not compatible with the real world problems
- 25% of the employer suggested that the syllabus cannot easily build the students readily employable without training.


ALUMNI FEEDBACK ANALYSIS

- 9.4% of the Alumnus suggested that the courses have no relevance and sequences in relation to the program.
- 14.6% Of the Alumnus suggested that the course content not satisfy the competencies.
- 9.8% of the Alumnus suggested that the electives offered relocated to the advanced technology were not up to the level.
- 14.9% of the Alumnus suggested that the experiments could not provide any value to the real time application.
- 7.8% of the Alumnus suggested that the courses that learnt is not related to their present job.

ACTION TAKEN BY THE DEPARTMENT ADVISORY COMMITTEE

- To fulfil the gap in the syllabus and attaining all course outcomes, all faculty members should teach content beyond the syllabus with current innovative trends, all students should be encouraged & motivated to attend certificate program and value-added courses.
- Students are advised to undergo in-plant training, internship/field projects, field visits to correlate the syllabus and solve the real-world problems and to make them aware about the industry standards in various domains.
- The Department Advisory Committee suggested that the department shall conduct entrepreneurship or start-ups skills program in various domain based on the requirement of the stakeholders.
- The Department Advisory Committee suggested that a letter of representation to Anna University Chennai be initiated to express inadequacy in syllabus to meet current industrial trends and also review the text books and reference books with high standards and include real world problems in the syllabus in the next regulation.


HOD


IQAC




PRINCIPAL
Dr. P. MANI ARASAN
Principal
Nehru Institute of Engg. & Technol
T.M.Palayam, Coimbatore - 641 10



NEHRU INSTITUTE OF ENGINEERING AND TECHNOLOGY

T. M. Palayam, Coimbatore-641 105

(Approved by AICTE, New Delhi and Affiliated to Anna University, Chennai)

Accredited by NAAC, Recognized by UGC with Section 2(f) and 12(B)



Department of Science and Humanities

Feedback Analysis and Action Taken Report- Design and Review of Syllabus

Academic Year: 2020-21

The feedback on design and review of syllabus was taken from Students, and Teachers. Based on the feedback, the following points are submitted to Department Advisory Committee for further action,

STUDENTS FEEDBACK ANALYSIS

S.No	Feedback of Students	AERO	ECE	EEE	CSE	MCT	MECH
1	The course objective is not clear	1.04%	-	1.02%	1.6%	4%	-
2	The syllabus is not carrier oriented	1.04%	2.9%	-	1.02%	-	1.00%
3	The course was not well structured to achieve course outcomes	1.02%	1.08%	1.02%	1.8%	3%	1.3%
4	The content will not help for their higher education or employment	1.04%	2.7%	1.3%	-	5%	3.2%
5	The book prescribed as the text book reference is not related to the syllabus	1.04%	2.94%	1.08%	3.5%	1.02%	2.2%
6	The syllabus is not related to real world problem	1.02%	1.06%	5%	1.02%	2.01%	2.2%
7	The syllabus is not covering industrial standard and they suggested to improve more industrial related topics	2.3%	1.02%	3.2%	1.8%	5%	-
8	The text and reference book prescribed in the syllabus are not standard	1.04%	1.94%	-	1.5%	1.03%	2.03%



[Signature]
28/07/2021

Dr. P. MANIARASAN
Principal
Nehru Institute of Engg. & Technology
T. M. Palayam, Coimbatore - 641 105

TEACHERS FEEDBACK ANALYSIS

S.No	Faculty feedback	Department of Science and Humanities
1	The objective of the syllabus are not clearly indicated	6%
2	The course content are not followed from corresponding reference book/material	-
3	The syllabus is not designed to bridge a gap between theory and practical	6%
4	The completion of syllabus for the students is not possible on time	8%
5	The syllabus is not covered with modern and advanced topic.	9%
6	The curriculum and syllabus is not designed to improve employability opportunity	-
7	The depth of the course content is not adequate to have significant learning outcomes	3%
8	Sufficient reference material and book are not available for the topic mentioned in the syllabus	-

ACTION TAKEN BY THE DEPARTMENT ADVISORY COMMITTEE

- Faculty members were requested to make periodic schedule to involve students to actively participate in online activities related to their subject other than regular teaching.
- Department Advisory Committee suggests that more seminar and workshop should be conducted for students in order to bridge the gap between curriculum and industries.
- Communication related certificate course to be introduced, BEC course is introduced for the academic year.

S. Shafiq
HoD 28/7/24

R. Aravind
IQAC 28/7/2024

P. Mani Arasan
Principal
28/07/2024



Dr. P. MANIARASAN
Principal
Nehru Institute of Engg. & Technology
T.M. Palayam, Coimbatore - 641 105

Department of Management studies

Feedback Analysis and Action Taken Report- Design and Review of Syllabus

Academic Year: 2020-21

The feedback on design and review of syllabus was taken from Students, Employers, Alumni and Teachers. Based on the feedback, the following points are submitted to Department Advisory Committee,

STUDENTS FEEDBACK ANALYSIS

- 80% of the students from I year And II year strongly agrees and 20% of the students from I year and II year agrees that the course objective is clear and useful.
- 86% of the students from I year And II year strongly agrees and 14% of the students from I year and II year agrees that the content will be helpful for their higher education or employment.
- 90% of the students from I year And II year strongly agrees and 10% of the students from I year and II year agrees that the syllabus is related to real world problems.
- 76% of the students from I year And II year strongly agrees and 24% of the students from I year and II year agrees that the syllabus is covers industry standard and industry related topics.
- 82% of the students from I year And II year strongly agrees and 18% of the students from I year and II year agrees that the text and Reference books prescribed in the syllabus are standard.

TEACHERS FEEDBACK ANALYSIS

- 90% of the teachers strongly suggesting that objectives of the syllabus are clearly indicated.
- 80% of the teachers strongly suggesting that the syllabus is designed to bridge a gap between the theory and the practical
- 90% of the teachers strongly suggesting that completion of syllabus for the students is possible on time.
- 90% of the teachers strongly suggesting that the syllabus is covered with modern & advanced topics
- 90% of the teachers strongly suggesting that the depth of the course content is adequate to have significant learning outcomes.

EMPLOYER FEEDBACK ANALYSIS

- 90% of the employer suggests that the curriculum is effective for the students in developing innovative thinking.
- 90% of the employer suggests that curriculum is relevant for employability.
- 90% of the employer suggests that syllabus is compatible with the real world problems
- 90% of the employer suggests that the syllabus easily build the students readily employable.

NEHRU INSTITUTE OF ENGINEERING AND TECHNOLOGY

T. M. Palayam, Coimbatore-641 105

(Approved by AICTE, New Delhi and Affiliated to Anna University, Chennai)

Accredited by NAAC, Recognized by UGC with Section 2(f) and 12(B)



ALUMNI FEEDBACK ANALYSIS

- 90% of the Alumni students suggest that the courses have no relevance and sequences in relation to the program.
- 86% of the Alumni students suggest that the electives offered related to the advanced technology were not up to the level.
- 92% of the Alumni students suggest that the theoretical knowledge could not provide the practical exposure.

ACTION TAKEN BY THE DEPARTMENT ADVISORY COMMITTEE

- As per suggestions from the students, they should be guided & motivated to attend certificate program, value-added courses NPTEL-SWAYAM courses.
- Department can increase the number of field visits.
- Department shall conduct entrepreneurship or start-ups skill development program for the benefit of the students.


Director

Dr. P.T. VIJAYA RAJAKUMAR
Professor and Director
Department of Management Studies
Nehru Institute of Engineering and Technology
Coimbatore


IQAC




Principal

Dr. P. MANI ARASAN
Principal
Nehru Institute of Engineering & Technology
T.M. Palayam, Coimbatore - 641 105